CERTIFICATE OF HIGHWAY MILEAGE FOR YEAR ENDING FEB. 10, 1990

Fill out the certificate, file a copy with the Town Clerk, and mail original to

Vt. Agency of Transportation, Planning Division before February 10, 1990.

▶ IF NO CHANGES IN MILEAGE, OMIT PART I, CHECK BOX IN PART II, AND SIGN PART III. ◄

PART I

DISTRICT_2

We, the Selectmen/Trustees/Aldermen of ______ Townshend, Windham County

on an oath state that the mileage of highways, according to Title 19, V. S. A., Sec. * 305, added 1985, is as follows:

		TOWN HIGHWAYS			STATE HIGHWAYS	TOTAL EXCLUDING CLASS 4	
		CLASS I	CLASS 2	CLASS 3	CLASS 4		
PREVIOUS AS SHOWN F	MILEAGE EBRUARY 10, 1989	0.000	10.620	42.920	6.540	7.319	60.859
	ADDED JARY 10, 1989 DER (1) BELOW	a de la		1.880 0.570			2.450
SUE	3 TOTAL						
SINCE FEBRL	SUBTRACTED JARY 10, 1989 DER (2) AND (3)	name for an in the second s	n - n - nga nga na		0.570		
TOTAL HI MILEAGE	GHWAY FEB. 10, 1990	0.000	10.620	45.370	5.970	7.319	63.309
SCENIC HI MILEAGE	IGHWAY (19 VSA 2502)						

DURING THE PAST YEAR THE FOLLOWING CHANGES HAVE OCCURRED.

(I) NEW HIGHWAYS: We hereby certify that the following new highways, as substantiated by the attached "Selectmen's Certificate of Completion "have been added.

2	74	30	0.460	,	Miles	GRANE MT	T# 72	.98 miles	#4201	4711	
1	+H	73	, 2	1	Miles	shirley	cir cle				
	44	74	+ 23		Mi 185	CeliA	circle				

(2) DISCONTINUED: We hereby certify that the following highways have been discontinued according to statute and are substantiated by the attached copy of the proceedings.

(3) RECLASSIFIED/REMEASURED: We hereby certify that the following highways have been reclassified/remeasured and are substantiated by the attached copy of the proceedings. T=30, 0.570 mi. Reclassed Cl.4 to Cl.3

TH 30	The Miles	I-JU, U.JTU III. NECIASSEU CI.4 LU CI.J
TH 72	198 miles	HATER HEII
TH T	:21 miles	stirler circle
IN TH	173 miles	calle circle

(4) SCENIC HIGHWAYS: We hereby certify that the following highways have been designated or discontinued as "Town Scenic Highways", and are substantiated by the attached copy of the proceedings.

PART II CHECK BOX IF NO CHANGES IN MILEAGES.
PART III auc Con Ballantin
SELECTMEN/ALDERMEN/TRUSTEES Super / Showed Ca
SIGNATURES Faid Quelimitte
Town/City/Village/CLERK SIGNATURE: Jour then Beers france of free of thests
that this record of highway mileage was filed and recorded on <u>Feb. 6</u> , 1990.
Approved: <u>B.W.Quy</u> Representative, Agency of Transportation <u>Date</u>
Note: Applicable coefficiences Vermont Statutes is printed on reverse side.

Anta

CERTIFICATE OF COMPLETION AND OPENING . / 270 OF A HIGHWAY FOR PUBLIC TRAVEL

, Town Clerk of the Town Frances A. Lucier of Townshend ____, Vermont.

Pursuant to Title 19, V.S.A., Section 15, as amended 1973, this is to certify that the following described section of Class <u>3</u> Highway in the <u>Town</u> of <u>Townshend</u> was COMPLETED AND OPEN FOR PUBLIC TRAVEL on February 6 , 19 90

DESCRIPTION OF RIGHT-OF-WAY: Beginning _____ Warranty Deed _____ Recorded September 18, 1989 at Book 54, Pages 66-70 in the Townshend Land Records. TH 30, Crane Mt. 1.03 mi., TH 73, Shirley Circle .21 mi., TH 74 Celia Circle .23 mi. & TH 72 Hazel Hill .98 mi. Total mileage 2.45 mi.

and as shown on a Highway Map of the Town of Townshend Rec'd Jan 3, 1990, and filed in Book For PagBox #2 of the Records Townshend by the Town Clerk of of the Town of Townshend by the Town Clerk of said Townshend incorporated herein by reference and attested to on said map by said Town Clerk. Dated at Townshend , County of _____ Windham ____ and State of

Vermont, this <u>6</u> day of <u>February</u>, A.D., 19 90.

BOARD

SELECTMEN ALDERMEN TRUSTEES

OF

and the MAYOR of the City of

Townshend , VERMONT

February 6 ____, **19**_90.

THE ABOVE IS A TRUE COPY OF THE DESCRIPT		3 HIGHWAY	COMPLETED AND OPENED
FOR PUBLIC TRAVEL, RECORDED IN BOOK 13	ON PAGE 2	3 OF THE	Town RECORDS
OF THE Town OF Townshend	ON THE	6th	DAY OF February
1990, AT 9:00 O' CLOCK, A	.M.	And the second sec	
ATTEST:	tra	eces Q. o	lucion)
Town	CLERK OF	Townshend	d VERMONT

1990

WARRANTY DEED

KNOW ALL PERSONS BY THESE PRESENTS

THAT IT, CERSOSIMO LUMBER COMPANY, INC., a Vermont corporation with a principal place of business at Brattleboro, in the County of Windham and State of Vermont, GRANTOR, in the consideration of ONE and MORE DOLLARS paid to its full satisfaction by TOWN OF TOWNSHEND of Townshend, in the County of Windham and State of Vermont, GRANTEE, by these presents do freely GIVE, GRANT, SELL, CONVEY and CONFIRM unto the said GRANTEE, TOWN OF TOWNSHEND, its heirs, successors and assigns forever, a certain plece of land in Townshend in the County of Windham and State of Vermont, described as follows, viz:

Being five (5) roads known as Crane Mountain Road, West Road, Shirley Circle, Hazel Hill Road, and Celia Circle and located in the Townshend Acres Development and more particularly described as follows:

CRANE MOUNTAIN ROAD: A certain parcel of land three (3) rods in width having a southerly terminus on the Taft Road, so-called, and a northerly terminus at the boundary line between land of Cersosimo Lumber Company, Inc. and land now or formerly of G. B. Holt and H. R. Holt, said road being located where presently constructed and having an overall length of 4650 feet.

Said Road also being referred to as Crane Hill Road on a Plan entitled "Townshend Acres, Townshend, Vermont" prepared by Stuart Dauchy, dated November 1, 1968.

WEST ROAD: A certain Parcel of land consisting of a 50 foot wide strip of land with a circular turn-around located on the southwesterly side of Crane Mountain Road, so-called, in the Town of Townshend, State of Vermont and being more particularly described as follows:

Beginning at a point in a stonewall on the southwesterly bound of said Crane Mountain Road and approximately 602 feet from a northeasterly corner of land, now of formerly, of G. B. and H. R. Holt as measured along the southwesterly bound of said Crane Mountain Road, said point marks the northeast corner of Lot 1 and the northwest corner of the Parcel herein conveyed;

Thence southeasterly following the southwesterly bound of said Crane Mountain Road fifty (50) feet to the easterly bound of the Parcel herein described;

Thence S 28° 24' W a distance of 521.4 feet to an iron pipe;

Thence S 27⁰ 01' W a distance of 431.6 feet to an iron pipe;

Thence S 27⁰ 17' W a distance of 416.9 feet to an iron pipe at a point of curvature, the previous 3 courses following the easterly bound of the roadway herein described;

Thence southerly, southwesterly, northerly and northeasterly, following the arc of a curve to the right, a distance of 261.1 feet to an iron pipe in a stonewall;

Thence N 27° 17' E following a stonewall a distance of 423.0 to an iron pipe;

Thence N 27⁰ 01' E following said stonewall, a distance of 438.7 feet to an iron pipe at a corner of said stonewall;

Thence N 28° 24' E a distance of 523.8 feet to the point of beginning. All bearings being referenced to 1968 magnetic north.

HAZEL HILL ROAD: Being a road fifty (50.00) feet in width running northerly from Crane Mountain Road, also known as Crane Hill Road, the centerline of which is more particularly described as follows:

Beginning at the point of intersection of Hazel Hill Road with the northerly bound of Crane Mountain Road;

Thence N 20° 08' 58" E a distance of 110.99 feet;

Thence in a curve to the right having a radius of 904.63 feet a distance of 165.54 feet;

Thence in a curve to the left having a radius of 686.33 feet a distance of 164.55 feet;

Thence in a curve to the right having a radius of 835.58 feet a distance of 392.62 feet;

Thence N 43° 49' 08" E a distance of 106.03 feet;

Thence in a curve to the right having a radius of 425.66 feet a distance of 196.44 feet;

Thence N 70° 15' 38" E a distance of 88.04 feet;

Thence in a curve to the right having a radius of 208.78 feet a distance of 191.37 feet;

Thence in a curve to the left having a radius of 2617.99 feet a distance of 204.30 feet;

Thence S 61° 41' 32" E a distance of 86.28 feet;

Thence in a curve to the right having a radius of 293.53 feet a distance of 277.34 feet;

Thence S 07° 33' 24" E a distance of 164.20 feet;

Thence in a curve to the left having a radius of 85.78 feet a distance of 117.42 feet;

Thence continuing in a curve to the left having a radius of 97.90 feet a distance of 119.30 feet;

Thence N 24[°] 11' 34' E a distance of 40.13 feet;

Thence in a curve to the left having a radius of 2177.94 feet a distance of 203.85 feet;

States and the contract of the contract of the contract of the

Thence in a curve to the right having a radius of 667.95 feet a distance of 200.54 feet;

Thence N 02[°] 17' 39' W a distance of 260.59 feet;

Thence in a curve to the left having a radius of 125.87 feet a distance of 134.90 feet;

Thence in a curve to the left having a radius of 216.72 feet a distance of 153.54 feet;

Thence S 75° 42' 35" W a distance of 47.18 feet;

Thence in a curve to the right having a radius of 162.34 feet a distance of 242.18 feet;

Thence N 18⁰ 49' 01" W a distance of 29.00 feet;

Thence in a curve to the right having a radius of 90.56 feet a distance of 151.22 feet;

Thence N 76° 51' 23" E a distance of 30.04 feet;

Thence in a curve to the left having a radius of 429.66 feet a distance of 374.37 feet;

Thence N 26° 56' 01" E a distance of 204.49 feet;

Thence in a curve to the left having a radius of 883.98 feet a distance of 268.71 feet;

Thence N 09⁰ 31' 01" E a distance of 46.44 feet;

Thence N 83⁰ 27' 44" W a distance of 21.21 feet to a point at the center of a cul-de-sac having a radius of 75.00 feet, said cul-de-sac being a part of Hazel Hill Road.

SHIRLEY CIRCLE: Being a road fifty (50.00) feet in width running easterly from hazel Hill Road to a cul-de-sac, the centerline of which is described as follows;

Beginning at a point in the centerline of Hazel Hill Road;

Thence running northeasterly in a curve to the right having a radius of 306.57 feet a distance of 161.50 feet;

Thence N 60° 49' 01" E a distance of 63.38 feet;

Thence in a curve to the left having a radius of 489.09 feet a distance of 291.09 feet;

Thence S 85° 04' 56" E a distance of 52.37 feet;

Thence in a curve to the right having a radius of 161.25 feet a distance of 244.83 feet;

inter if the set of the state in the set of the

Thence in a curve to the left having a radius of 1769.26 feet a distance of 305.32 feet;

Thence S 07° 58' 34" E a distance of 75.07 feet;

Thence turning and running N 82° 00' 37" E a distance of 11.59 feet to the center of a cul-de-sac having a radius of 75.00 feet, said cul-de-sac being part of Shirley Circle.

CELIA CIRCLE: Being a road fifty (50.00) feet in width running from the northeasterly side of Hazel Hill Road, the centerline of which is described as follows:

Beginning at a point in the centerline of hazel Hill Road at the Intersection with the centerline of Celia Circle;

Thence N 59 $^{\circ}$ 46' 12" E a distance of 128.03 feet;

ł

1

Thence in a curve to the left having a radius of 596.07 feet a distance of 248.35 feet;

Thence in a curve to the left having a radius of 1050.31 feet a distance of 253.50 feet;

Thence N 22° 04' 10" E a distance of 215.16 feet;

Thence in a curve to the right having a radius of 742.30 feet a distance of 321.19 feet;

Thence N 46° 51' 41" E a distance of 50.00 feet to a point at the center of a cul-de-sac having a radius of 75.00 feet, said cul-de-sac being a part of Cella Circle.

Excepting and reserving and conveyed subject to such power and telephone easements as exist of record or in fact.

Also conveying all culverts and other hardware as is presently included, as built.

The foregoing roadways are shown in part on plans entitled "Townshend Acres Development", dated December 30, 1971, prepared by Southern Vermont Engineering and recorded in the Townshend, Vermont Land Records, and as shown in part on a plan entitled "Townshend Acres, Townshend, Vermont" dated November 1, 1969, prepared by Stuart Dauchy and recorded in the Townshend Land Records.

To Have and to hold said granted premises, with all the privileges and appurtenances thereof, to the said GRANTEE, TOWN OF TOWNSHEND and its heirs, successors and assigns, to their own use and behoof forever; And IT the said GRANTOR, CERSOSIMO LUMBER COMPANY, INC. for its successors and assigns does covenant with the said GRANTEE, TOWN OF TOWNSHEND and its heirs, successors and assigns, that until the ensealing of these presents it is the sole owner of the premises, and have good right and title to convey the same in manner aforesaid, that they are Free from every encumbrance; except as aforesaid. And it hereby engage to Warrant and Defend the same against all lawful claims whatever, except as aforesaid.

In Witness Whereof, CERSOSIMO LUMBER COMPANY, INC. has caused its name and seal to be affixed this of day of A.D. 1989.

In Presence of

CERSOSIMO LUMBER COMPANY, INC.

and the second states of the second second

Inthony Ce maino ANTHONY CERSOSIMO, Treasurer and duly authorized agent

State of Vermont, Windham County

SS. At Brattleboro this 28 day of Jul A.D. 1989

ANTHONY CERSOSIMO

personally appeared, and he acknowledged this instrument, by him sealed and subscribed, to be the free act and deed of Cersosimo Lumber Company, Inc. and him as its duly authorized agent.

Before me



1922

TORCO FARRANTY

CERSOSINO LUMBER COMPANY, INC.

TO TOPE OF TOWNSHEND

Bated, vone vers 19 40

 Townshend Town
 CLERK'S OFFICE

 RECEIVED FOR RECORD
 September 18,
 A.D., 19_89

 AT_9_O'CLOCK_15_MINUTES_P_M.

 AND RECORDED IN Town of Townshend

BOOK 54 PAGE<u>66-70</u> OF LAND RECORDS ATTEST <u>PRANCES A Juccop</u> TOWN CLERK

TH Read



and which you want the second second

	INSHEND			OFFICIAL Irvin Stowell,
. Ser 2				Road Foreman & Selectman
MAP IDENT. LETTER	TOWN HWY. NO.	CLASS 3 CHANGE	CLASS 4 CHANGE	EXPLANATION
#1	RECLA	ASSIFIED HIG	HWAYS - DOCUM	ENTATION IS REQUIRED
-		(Please ad	d to mileage	certificate)
T0 ⁻	TAL #1	s	()	
#2 "CERT	 IFICATE OF COM 	PLETION AND	NEW TOWN HIGH OPENING" AND add to mileag	WAYS RIGHT-OF-WAY DESCRIPTION IS REQUIRED e certificate)
A	T-72 Prov.	+ 0.98		New Road
B	T-73 Prov.	+ 0.21 + 0.23		New Road
C D	T-74 Prov. T-30	+ 0.23 + 0.57	- 0.57	New Road From Class 4 to Class 3 (0.57) and 400 to
TOT	TAL #2		()	£
#3 THAT -	THESE ROADS HA	VE BEEN MAI	NTAINED AS TO	GNED BY SELECTMEN STATING WN ROADS FOR "X" YEARS IS REQUIRED e certificate)
I	T-75 Prov.	+ 0.43		Old Town Highway
TO	TAL #3		()	
(If The Highwa Develo Agency	e Town Fails, ay To The Acce op An Acceptat y For Purposes	Within One pted Standa ble Schedule of Apporti Mileage Fr	Year, To Rest rd, Or To Rec For Restorin onment Under	Y OF TRANSPORTATION FIELD INSPECTION ore The Highway Or Portion Of The lassify, Or To Discontinue, Or g To The Accepted Standards, The Section 306 Of This Title Shall ned To the Town For The Particular Question.)
E	T-30	- 0.13	+ 0.13	Revision - Not Class 3
F	T-9	- 0.13	+ 0.13	Revision - Not Class 3
G	T-20	- 0.20	+ 0.20 + 0.27	Revision - Not Class 3 Revision - Not Class 3
НН	T-36	- 0.27	+ 0.2/	Revision - Not class 5
TO	TAL #4		()	
#5				REMEASUREMENT ge Certificate)
	+		+	
то	TAL #5		()	
#6 FOR WHIC		ON HAS ALREA		NGES VED BY AGENCY OF TRANSPORTATION age Certificate)
			+	
TO	TAL #6		()	
GRAND TOTAL		-		

Townsend

VE NARREND DOUGT 用的的自己的可能能

> 2 1981 JUN

ENTRY ORDER

SUPREME COURT DOCKET NO. . 234-80

.April.....TERM, 19.81....

Anthony Cersosimo, Richard Wescott, Stanley Bills, and Donald E. Could

SUPREME COURT FORM NO. 1

V.

Town of Townshend

Windham Superior Court

APPEALED FROM:

DOCKET NO. S20-78VmM

In the above entitled cause the Clerk will enter:

Affirmed.

Dissenting: 1M 10-78 VII

FOR THE COURT:

iate Justi: Erank

Albert W. Barney, Chief Just

:.0 Robert W. Larrow, Associate Justice

William C. Hill, Associate Justice

2. 1 . 1 loid. Rudolph J. Daley, Associate Justice (Ret.) Specially Assigned

No. 234-80

VE SUPERING COURT FILED IN CLEANYS SUFFICE

Anthony Cersosimo, Richard Wescott, Stanley Bills, and Donald E. Gould Supreme Court

On Appeal from

JUN - 2 1981

v.

Town of Townshend

April Term, 1981

Windham Superior Court

PRESENT: Barney, C.J., Larrow, Billings and Hill, JJ., and Daley, J. (Ret.), Specially Assigned

BILLINGS, J. The plaintiffs-appellees petitioned the selectmen of the defendant-appellant Town of Townshend to lay out a public highway over certain private roads already constructed by the plaintiff Cersosimo in a real estate development known as Townshend Acres. The defendant town, acting through the selectmen, denied the petition. Pursuant to 19 V.S.A. § 461 et seq. the plaintiffs sought relief in the Windham Superior Court. The trial court appointed three commissioners, 19 V.S.A. § 462, to inquire into the convenience and necessity of the proposed highway. 19 V.S.A. § 464. After a hearing, the commissioners filed their report, 19 V.S.A. § 467, and by a two to one majority determined that the roads should be laid out as public highways. After a hearing, the superior court accepted the commissioners' report, 19 V.S.A. § 470, issued findings of fact and conclusions of law, and ordered the roads to be laid out and the defendant to accept and maintain them. The town appeals from this judgment.

Under Vermont law there are two methods of laying out public roads: statutory condemnation, and dedication and acceptance. <u>Demers v. Montpelier</u>, 120 Vt. 380, 141 A.2d A.2d 676 (1958); <u>Springfield v. Newton</u>, 115 Vt. 39, 50 A.2d 605 (1947). The defendant argues that the method of statutory condemnation is not a permissible method for creating a public way over an already existing private road. The defendant contends that when a private road is already in existence the only method available is dedication and acceptance. This Court has never addressed this issue, although two cases have dealt with the statutory condemnation of roads that were laid out at least in part over existing private roads. <u>Demers v. City of Montpelier, supra; Prince v. Town of Braintree</u>, 64 Vt. 540, 26 A. 1095 (1892). There was no objection in either case to the method used, however, and the Court did not discuss whether or not the procedure used was proper.

At least two other courts have addressed this issue. In In re Kress, 410 565, 189 A.2d 848 (1963), the Pennsylvania Supreme Court concluded that Pa. statutory procedures analogous to those invoked here could not be used to force a town to accept an already existing private road. This decision was based in part on certain provisions of the Pennsylvania statutes suggesting that the procedures were available only when the petitioners requested the construction of a new road. Id. at 567, 198 A.2d at 849. There is nothing in the language of the Vermont statutes on condemnation, however, to indicate that the procedure may be used only when a new road is to be constructed. New Hampshire has a statutory scheme for the condemnation of highways similar to that of Vermont. New Hampshire Rev. Stat. § 234:28 et seq. (1979). The New Hampshire Supreme Court has held that statutory condemnation is not limited to the creation of new roads, but may be used for converting existing private roads into public roads. Lock Development Corp. v. Town of Barnstead, 115 N.H. 642, 349 A.2d 598 (1975); Amoskeag Industries, Inc. v. City of Manchester, 93 N.H. 335, 41 A.2d 917 (1945).

The defendant suggests that if statutory condemnation is available in this situation any developer may force a town to accept the burden of maintaining

- 2 --

roads constructed by the developer on private lands. In view of the procedures that must be followed before a town can be forced to lay out a highway, this argument is without merit. At least five per cent of the freeholders in the town must petition the selectmen to lay out a highway. 19 V.S.A. § 341(a). The commissioners appointed after a petition to the superior court must make a finding of convenience and necessity, 19 V.S.A. § 464, and the superior court must concur in the commissioner's report. 19 V.S.A. § 470. We hold that statutory condemnation is an appropriate procedure for laying out a public highway over an existing private road.

The defendant also claims error in the trial court's finding that the public convenience and necessity dictate the taking over of the highway by the The defendant argues that the "necessity" required for laying out a town. public road is an absolute necessity, which can exist only if there is no Under 19 V.S.A. § 221(1), existing access to the petitioner's property. however, necessity is defined as "a reasonable need which considers the greatest public good and the least inconvenience and expense to the condemning party and to the property owner." It does not mean an imperative, indispensable or absolute necessity but only that the taking be reasonably necessary to the accomplishment of the end in view under the particular circumstances. State Transportation Board v. May, 137 Vt. 320, 403 A.2d 267 (1979); Latchis v. State Highway Board, 120 Vt. 120, 134 A.2d 191 (1957). This is a question of fact which is to be determined exclusively by the trial court. Pillsbury v. Town of Wheelock, 130 Vt. 242, 290 A.2d 42, (1972); Boles, et al. v. City of Montpelier, 93 Vt. 513, 108 A. 565, (1920). The trial court's findings are to be reversed only if it is shown that substantial injustice has been done. Boles v. City of Montpelier, supra; Prince v. Town of Braintree, supra. Absent an abuse of

- 3 -

discretion the findings must stand. <u>State v. Ahearn</u>, 137 Vt. 253, 267, 403 A.2d 696, (1979). The evidence here disclosed that the roads would aid in fire protection, that the town tax revenues would increase without an undue burden in the cost of maintaining the highways, that they would connect two present deadend town roads, so that traffic could flow east and west on one town road and that they would permit more efficient and economic maintenance, particularly during the winter plowing season. The findings of necessity and public convenience are amply supported by the evidence.

Affirmed.

FOR THE COURT: Ustice Brent

- 4 -

